The Pension Regulator's and Scheme Advisory Board Compliance Checklist

Date of Completion: 12/02/2016

Contents

Introduction
Summary Results Dashboard
A - Reporting Duties
B - Knowledge and Understanding
C - Conflicts of interest
D - Publishing information about schemes
E - Managing risk and internal controls
F - Maintaining accurate member data
G - Maintaining contributions
H - Providing information to members and others
I - Internal Dispute Resolution
J - Reporting breaches of the law
K - Scheme Advisory Board - Guidance on the creation and operation of Local Pension Boards in England and Wales

Introduction

This document outlines how Enfield Council complies with the Pensions Regulator's (TPR) Code of Practice No 14 Governance and administration of public service pension schemes ('the TPR Code') in relation to the management of the London Borough of Enfield Pension Fund which is part of the Local Government Pension Scheme (LGPS). It will be updated regularly by officers of the Fund and reported annually to the Pensions Committee and Pension Board (generally in June/July each year).

This document highlights all the key elements of the TPR Code and then evidences whether Enfield Council meets these areas of best practice. As part of this evidence it shows when the element was last checked and whether, at that point, it was considered fully, partially or not compliant. Where they are partially or not compliant, it also highlights whether the Council have identified actions to be carried out to improve their current practices. Where an element is not yet active, the commentary will generally still highlight where advanced progress is being made.

Those reading this document should be mindful that the TPR Code applies equally to all public service pension schemes and therefore it is generic in nature. There may be a number of elements that are more specifically stipulated within LGPS legislation and it is not the purpose of this compliance checklist to consider that level of detail.

Further, Enfield Council may also incorporate key elements of national guidance from the LGPS Scheme Advisory Board into this compliance checklist. This version contains the checklists included as part of the Shadow Scheme Advisory Boards "Guidance on the creation and operation of Local Pension Boards in England and Wales".

Key

Frequency of review and last review date: Where a process, policy or practice is officially reviewed at a set interval, the actual interval will be shown as well as the last interval date. However, in many circumstances processes and procedures are ongoing and part of the day – to - day operation of the Fund. In these circumstances, an annual check will be carried out to ensure that the ongoing process meets the TPR Code expectations and therefore the date shown will be the date that annual check was carried out and the frequency will be shown as "ongoing (annual check)".

Completed:

In progress Not started Not yet relevant

Compliant:

Fully compliant

Partially compliant

Non-compliant

Net yet relevant

Where responsibility relates to employers:

Employers - Fully
compliant
Employers - Partially
compliant
Employers - Noncompliant
Not yet relevant

Definitions:

PSPA13 Public Service Pensions Act 2013
LGPS Local Government Pension Scheme

TPR The Pensions Regulator

TPR Code The Pensions Regulator's Code of Practice No 14 Governance and administration of public service pension schemes

Scheme Manager For the London Borough of Enfield Pension Fund, this is Enfield Council.

Administering The LGPS specific term for Scheme Manager. For the London Borough of Enfield Pension Fund, this is Enfield Council.

Authority

IDRP Internal Dispute Resolution ProcedureSAB The national LGPS Scheme Advisory Board

PC Pensions Committee
PB Pension Board

Summary Dashboard

A dashboard showing the summary of the results of the latest compliance checklist is shown below:

No.	Completed	Compliant
	Reporting Duties	S
A1	In progress	Not yet relevant
A2	Not yet relevant	Not yet relevant
A3	Not yet relevant	Not yet relevant
A4	Not started	Non-compliant
Knov	vledge and Understar	nding
B1	In progress	Non-compliant
B2	Fully completed	Fully compliant
B3	In progress	Partially compliant
B4	Fully completed	Fully compliant
B5	In progress	Partially compliant
B6	In progress	Partially compliant
В7	In progress	Partially compliant
B8	In progress	Partially compliant
B9	Fully completed	Fully compliant
B10	In progress	Non-compliant
B11	In progress	Partially compliant
B12	Not started	Non-compliant
	Conflicts of Interest	
C1	Fully completed	Partially compliant
C2	Fully completed	Partially compliant
C3	In progress	Non-compliant
C4	In progress	Non-compliant
C5	Not started	Non-compliant
C6	In progress	Partially compliant
C7	In progress	Non-compliant
C8	In progress	Non-compliant
C9	Fully completed	Fully compliant
C10	Fully completed	Fully compliant
C11	Fully completed	Fully compliant
F	Publishing Information	n
D1	In progress	Partially compliant
D2	Fully completed	Partially compliant
D3	Not yet relevant	Not yet relevant
D4	Fully completed	Partially compliant

No.	Completed	Compliant
	Risk and Internal Cor	·
E1	In progress	Non-compliant
E2	Fully completed	Fully compliant
E3	Fully completed	Fully compliant
E4	In progress	Fully compliant
E5	Fully completed	Fully compliant
E6	In progress	Non-compliant
E7	Fully completed	Partially compliant
E8	In progress	Partially compliant
Mainta	ining Accurate Memb	er Data
F1	Fully completed	Partially compliant
F2	Fully completed	Partially compliant
F3	Fully completed	Fully compliant
F4	Fully completed	Fully compliant
F5	Fully completed	Fully compliant
F6	Fully completed	Fully compliant
F7	Fully completed	Non-compliant
F8	Fully completed	Partially compliant
		Niew seems Pearl
F9	Not started	Non-compliant
F9 F10	Not started Fully completed	Partially compliant
F10 F11	Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant
F10 F11	Fully completed Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant
F10 F11	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contributio	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns
F10 F11 M a	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contributio Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contributio Fully completed In progress	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contributio Fully completed In progress Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contributio Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Non-compliant Partially compliant Fully compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Non-compliant Partially compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Employers - Fully
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Non-compliant Partially compliant Fully compliant Fully compliant Employers - Fully compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed In progress	Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Employers - Fully compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant And Others
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed In progress In progress In progress	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Non-compliant Partially compliant Employers - Fully compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9 Providing In	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contributio Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress In progress formation to Members	Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Employers - Fully compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant Sand Others Employers - Non-
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9 Providing In	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed In progress In progress In progress In progress	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Non-compliant Fully compliant Fully compliant Employers - Fully compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant Sand Others Employers - Non-compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9 Providing In H1 H2	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed In progress In progress In progress Formation to Members Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Employers - Fully compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant Sand Others Employers - Non-compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant
F10 F11 Ma G1 G2 G3 G4 G5 G6 G7 G8 G9 Providing In H1 H2 H3	Fully completed Fully completed aintaining Contribution Fully completed In progress Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed In progress In progress In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed In progress Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed Fully completed	Partially compliant Partially compliant ns Partially compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Non-compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant Fully compliant Employers - Fully compliant Non-compliant Non-compliant Sand Others Employers - Non-compliant Fully compliant Fully compliant Partially compliant Partially compliant

No.	Completed	Compliant		
		Employers - Partially		
H7	Fully completed	compliant		
H8	Fully completed	Partially compliant		
H9	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
H10	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
H11	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
H12	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
H13	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
Int	ernal Dispute Resolut	ion		
I1	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
12	Fully completed	Non-compliant		
13	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
14	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
l5	Fully completed	Partially compliant		
16	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
17	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
18	Fully completed	Partially compliant		
19	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
	Reporting Breaches			
J1	In progress	Non-compliant		
J2	In progress	Non-compliant		
J3	In progress	Partially compliant		
Scheme	Advisory Board Requ	irements		
K1	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K2	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K3	In progress	Non-compliant		
K4	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K5	In progress	Partially compliant		
K6	In progress	Partially compliant		
K7	In progress	Non-compliant		
K8	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K9	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K10	In progress	Partially compliant		
K11	In progress	Partially compliant		
K12	In progress	Non-compliant		
K13	In progress	Non-compliant		
K14	In progress	Partially compliant		
K15	Fully completed	Fully compliant		

A - Reporting Duties

Note the requirements in this section are not included in the TPR Code but they are a fundamental to the relationship with TPR.

Legal Requirements

All public service pension schemes have to be registered with TPR. In addition, all schemes must provide a regular scheme return to TPR, containing prescribed information. A return is required when the scheme receives a scheme return notice from the regulator. The scheme manager must also keep the regulator informed of any changes to registrable scheme details.

Note the requirements in this section are not included in the TPR Code but are a requirement for all schemes.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
A1	Is your scheme registered with the Pension Regulator?	Head of Finance - Pension Investment (HoFPI - Paul Reddaway) believes the Fund must be registered as was sent the survey to complete, but will contact TPR to update confirm and update contact details. HoFPI will review annually to see if updates required (TBC by HoFPI)		01/12/2015	In progress			HoPFI to contact TPR to confirm registration and update contact details so future correspondence comes to HoFPI
A2		Intention will be, if possible, to update as employers join or leave the scheme and check annually for overall accuracy.		Dec-15	Not yet relevant		Will commence when log in facility is made available to the public sector schemes.	
A3	Have you completed this latest Scheme Return in the required timescale?	Not yet been sent to funds to complete	As and when received	Dec-15	Not yet relevant	Not yet relevant		
A4		Not responded to respond to the survey sent in summer 2015 (voluntary) - intention is to respond to each survey received, including on voluntary basis.	As and when received.	Dec-15	Not started	Non-compliant		Respond to future surveys

B - Knowledge and Understanding

Legal Requirements

A member of the pension board of a public service pension scheme must be conversant with:

- the rules of the scheme, and
- any document recording policy about the administration of the scheme which is for the time being adopted in relation to the scheme.

A member of a pension board must have knowledge and understanding of:

- . the law relating to pensions, and
- . any other matters which are prescribed in regulations.

The degree of knowledge and understanding required is that appropriate for the purposes of enabling the individual to properly exercise the functions of a member of the pension board.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
B1	place to support pension board members in acquiring and retaining knowledge and understanding?	Training approach not currently set out in a formal document. This is to be drafted before the next PB meeting in March 2016, and will be reviewed annually. Will include objectives and how training will be documented and monitored, alongside development of an interactive recording/monitoring spreadsheet.	Annual	n/a	In progress	Non-compliant		Meeting in March - aim to have draft Policy at meeting - Policy should cover PB and PPIC and officers
B2	implemented?	HoFPI is responsible for training, and will have responsibility for the Training Policy and its implementation, including the maintenance of the monitoring spreadsheet tool - this responsibility will be set out in the training policy which is currently being drafted.	Ongoing (annual check)	n/a	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
В3	the degree of knowledge and understanding required?	requirements, which has given an indication of the knowledge requirements. Level of knowledge required will be set out in Training Policy and shared with PB members in March 2016 meeting. Monitoring spreadsheet will identify gaps in required knowledge and feed into training plans for the year. Policy will recommend use of the TPR toolkit which will help members obtain minimum levels of knowledge and understanding.	check	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		
B4	pension boards and members of pension board clearly set out in scheme documentation?	Core functions of the board, terms of office and duties are included in the Board's Terms of Reference which has been formally agreed. The ToR is based on the SAB board draft TOR template, but has been tailored appropriately to Enfield's circumstances. Requirements for training and knowledge will also be set out in the Training Policy being drafted.	at least every 4 years	Agreed by board at 31 July 2015 meeting	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
B5	their legal responsibility in terms of	Will be set out in training policy, but also mentioned in Terms of Reference, so PB members are already aware of their responsibilities - also responsibilities mentioned in initial meeting on 31 July 2015		31/07/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
B6	Have all pension board members got access to copies of the scheme rules and relevant Fund documentation?	Each set of meeting minutes from the Pension Policy and Investment Commmittee is circulated to PB members, and they are read at PB meetings. The Fund Annual report and key documents were provided in PB initial meeting - and key documents are also available online for PB members. The Website is also being upgraded and as part of that the HoFPI will ensure all the key documents are available on the website. HoFPI mentioned to the Board the key items when he distributed the annual accounts in the meeting - and explained which bits of the accounts to focus on.	Ongoing (annual	31/07/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		
В7		The training policy being drafted will set out the requirements (and this will be given to existing PB members and new PB members when they join). There isn't a formal list of documents yet but will be included in training policy. The Website is also being upgraded and as part of that the HoFPI will ensure all the key documents are available on the website. HoFPI mentioned key items when he distributed the annual accounts in the meeting - and explained which bits of the accounts to focus on.	Ongoing (annual check)	31/07/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		
B8	Are all pension board members investing sufficient time in their learning and development?	Training is currently carried out at each PB meeting according to need and what is to be discussed in the meeting - main training provided so far has been on investments. All employee members have gone on Unison training and some sent on initial training course. Chairman has had significant training. Training on investments has been on hedge funds, private equity, and there is administration training planned for next meeting. Provided members attend the meetings, this is considered sufficient to meet requirements.	check)	Ongoing	In progress	Partially compliant		Suggest training plans are agreed when annual business planning exercise takes place. Suggest method of monitoring of training included in policy - training tool mentioned above can be used for this purpose and certificates used in annual reports or posted online.
B9		2 employee members had pre appointment training. From the Employer side, members were on the old pension board (now PPIC) so they are already familiar with pensions and the Fund. However, there is also induction training provided for new members upon joining. One employer PB member has not attended/completed as much training as would be desired and so the aim is to formally document a training plan for PB members and monitor attendance, as mentioned above.	check)	31/07/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		

No.	TPR Requirement	IL ongon Borough of Entield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
B10	Is there a process in place for regularly assessing the pension board members' level of knowledge and understanding is sufficient for their role, responsibilities and duties?		Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant	A model is being developed to capture individual training needs against CIPFA requirements/TPR toolkits, and to monitor against those specific requirements, including providing individual certificates.	Recommend that annual review of records kept from tool (in PB or PPIC meetings) will highlight any individuals with outstanding requirements (PPIC, PB and officers).
B11		HoPFI currently has a record of what courses people have been on (at individual level for past 3 years), but need to formalise it in spreadsheet tool being developed, and maintain this regularly. The tool can print certificates for knowledge covered in last 3 years' training.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		
B12	Have the pension board members completed the Pension Regulator's toolkit for training on the Code of Practice number 14?		Ongoing (annual check)	n/a	Not started	Non-compliant		Suggest a module is covered in each early PB meeting as a group and then individuals can complete in their own time for certification.

C - Conflicts of interest

Legal Requirements

The Public Service Pensions Act 2013 sets out the legal requirements for scheme managers and pension boards for conflicts of interest.

In relation to the pension board, scheme regulations must include provision requiring the scheme manager to be satisfied:

- that a person to be appointed as a member of the pension board does not have a conflict of interest and
- from time to time, that none of the members of the pension board has a conflict of interest.

Scheme regulations must require each member or proposed member of a pension board to provide the scheme manager with such information as the scheme manager reasonably requires for the purposes of meeting the requirements referred to above.

Scheme regulations must include provision requiring the pension board to include employer representatives and member representatives in equal numbers.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
C1	include identifying, monitoring and	There is a Col policy which is detailed in the Fund's Code of Conduct which applies to PB and PPIC members - this covers identification/declaration requirements but not all points recommended by TPR guidance.	Annual	31/07/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Include Code of Conduct on website when upgraded, and recommend reviewing to include all relevant areas of TPR guidance
C2	clear understanding of their role, the circumstances in which they may have a conflict of interest and how to	PB members were given training on this at first meeting on 31 July 2015. HoFPI has agreed to have it as a standing agenda item at each meeting. Declarations haven't formally been documented but are required in Code of Conduct.	Annual	31/07/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Declarations to be formalised and reviewed on at least annual basis, and HoFPI to ensure this is included as a standing item.
СЗ	Administering Authority to determine whether a conflict exists (on	No declarations have yet been done (though there have been opportunities to express any potential conflicts and some have done so informally). Expressions of interest in relation of investments have been covered in meetings. There is not currently a register of interests bu this is to be drafted and included in future meetings as a standing item - maintained by HoFPI	Annual	31/07/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Suggest declarations completed at appointment and annually. Keep register of interests as standing agenda item.
C4	disclosure of interests and responsibilities which could become	No formal pre-appointment declaration of interest takes	Ongoing (annual check)	n/a	In progress	Non-compliant		Suggest declarations are requested at appointment/interview phase for future PB and PPIC members.
C5	Is the conflicts policy regularly reviewed?	Conflicts policy (within Code of Conduct) was agreed before first PB meeting - there is no comment about how often it is reviewed.	Triennially	31/07/2015	Not started	Non-compliant		Recommend reviewing at least every 3 years (or when changes in regulations apply to mean some elements need updating). Detail frequency of review within conflicts part of Code of Conduct
C6	and it is circulated for ongoing review and published?	recorded in the minutes so it is formally documented, but HoFPI has agreed to implement a register, and for this to be included in each meeting as standing agenda item. Not currently reported in annual report and accounts. Minutes are to be published online when website updated.	Ongoing and annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		Implement conflict register and consider reporting on this in annual report and accounts.
C7			Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
C8	Is there a standing item on the agenda for declaring conflicts of interest?	HoFPI has agreed to add this as an agenda item in all future meetings.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		
C9		Members have been told in first meeting and have been given Code of Conduct so are aware of responsibilities. Will be standing agenda item so will be fully covered. Also members will be encouraged to complete Toolkit module as part of Training requirements.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Include requirement to complete TPR toolkit modules in Training Policy and Plans
C10	representatives on the board in line with legal requirements?	There are 4 of each representative - so fully compliant. Set out as 4 employer and 4 member representatives in Board's Terms of Reference	Ongoing (annual check)	01/04/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
C11	Is the board made up of the appropriate mix of representatives in order to minimise potential conflicts?	Representation includes councillors, a scheduled body representative, and employees. The vice-chair is an employee representative. Unions suggested employee names so that suitable candidates were selected. HoFPI has meetings with the Chair and Vice-Chair before PB meetings to decide what will be included on the agenda.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/04/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		

D - Publishing information about schemes

Legal Requirements

The scheme manager for a public service scheme must publish information about the pension board for the scheme(s) and keep that information up-to-date.

The information must include:

- who the members of the pension board are
- · representation on the board of members of the scheme(s), and
- the matters falling within the pension board's responsibility

No.	TPR Requirement	ILONGON BOROUGN OF ENHEIG ADDROACH / EVIGENCE	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
D1	Does the Administering Authority publish information about the pension board?	The Fund website details who the PB are and how they are represented. Responsibilities are not currently included - to be published once website updated. https://governance.enfield.gov.uk/mgCommitteeMailingList.aspx?ID=235	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		Add responsibilities once website is updated
D2	Does the Administering Authority publish other useful related information about the pension board?	Terms of reference is going to be on the updated website, the other elements are not currently included.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Condiser adding additional information about PB members onto the website.
D3	Is all the information about the Pension Board kept up-to-date?	To date there have been no changes to make. It is HoFPI's responsibility to keep it up to date and he will make instructions to do so and as when required. PB members have minimum required 4 year term so shouldn't need regular changes.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/04/2015	Not yet relevant	Not yet relevant		
D4	Does the Administering Authority public information about pension board business?	Minutes are published on website - agenda is also published beforehand and papers - though restricted.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed		HoFPI to consider whether making full information publicly available.	

E - Managing risk and internal controls

Legal Requirements

The scheme manager must establish and operate internal controls which adequately ensure the scheme is administered and managed in accordance with the scheme rules and the requirements of the law.

Internal controls are defined in the legislation as:

- · arrangements and procedures to be followed in the administration and management of the scheme
- · systems and arrangements for monitoring that administration and management
- · arrangements and procedures to be followed for the safe custody and security of the assets of the scheme

The legal requirements apply equally where a scheme outsources services connected with the running of the scheme.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
E1	Is there an agreed process for identifying and recording scheme risks?	There currently is a risk register, but no formal policy for risk management is in place. It has been agreed to put a formal policy in place so this is now in progress. HoFPI is responsible for maintaining the risk register, which goes to the PPIC once a year. It has been agreed that the risk register should also go to the pension board meetings at the same time as the business plan to help focus on what the PB should be doing in their roles. Risks are currently added as and when the HoFPI is preparing for PPIC meetings.	Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Non- compliant		Formal policy for risk management including identification and recording risks to be drafted.
E2	Does the Fund have an adequate process to evaluate risks and establish internal controls?	The risk register includes a score/rating for each risk and this is awarded a red, amber, green status. This is carried out by the HoFPI based on judgement. Scores reflect a rating for both likelihood and impact of the risk events occurring. Register shows who has responsibility for each risk and the current control in place to manage it as well as new controls required - if new risks were to arise a new internal control (or development of existing controls) would take place to mitigate/manage the risk. It is recognised that the register could be updated to include more detail so that is currently in progress.	Annual	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Include more detail in risk register
E3	Does the Administering Authority have a risk register to record all risks identified and action taken?	There is currently a risk register which includes scoring of risks based on judgement and red/amber/green approach. This is reviewed in PPIC meetings annually but the HoFPI adds to it when new risks arise e.g. following from an audit. It is noted the risk register does include the required items, but these could be in greater detail and include timescales for review.	Annual	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant	TPR guidance: The risk register should contain: - details of the risks identified - the likelihood of the risk arising - the impact of the risk if it does arise - the actions taken to mitigate the risk - when mitigation action was taken - when the risk and mitigation should next be reviewed - who has responsibility for monitoring the risk, if it is not the scheme manager TPR also provides a sample risk register on the website.	

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
E4	Does the Administering Authority review the effectiveness of the risk management and internal control systems of the Fund?	The risk management and internal controls are reviewed regularly (albeit this does not follow a formal process and is not always documented) for effectiveness as part of a number of processes including: - Finance targets set and monitored by HoFPI on regular basis - The ongoing updating and annual review of the risk register which includes the control of those risks - Regular (twice yearly) internal and external audit reports, and fraud initiative checks. - Council have external audit of their accounts and fund accounts audit. - Reports sent to James Rolfe and any areas of concern or non-compliance are discussed at Chief Exec/MOM meetings. - Annual internal control reports from custodian and fund managers. [Paul to confirm this] - Annual update of TPR Code compliance checklist. - The external audit will require SAS70 reports so internal controls of external asset managers are covered. - A SWAT analysis has been carried out for the pensions team. - Heywoods also have a risk management policy for their systems which is reviewed regularly. The Council also has a risk policy where any disaster/emergency recovery risks and staff risks are covered. There are some possible areas where the effectiveness could be more easily monitored, e.g. having a formal risk management policy, including the methods by which to carry out such a review, formal breaches processes. Altair management system has ability to be reported against, but currently there is no monthly summary report for performance indicators - this is in progress.	Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Fully compliant		Issues could also be identified through regular monitoring reports such as performance monitoring for PPIC/PB, IDRP updates, and breaches notifications.
E5	Does the Administering Authority regularly review the risk register?	Yes - the risk register is reviewed annually, and added to when required and as part of audit process and meetings or through discussions with advisors, if new risks come to light.	Annual	[Date of last review]	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
E6	Is there a standing item on the Pension Board agenda to review scheme risks?	This will be added to the PB agenda on an annual basis (following review by PPIC).	Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Non- compliant		Standing item to be added to PB agenda

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
E7	Authority have adequate systems, arrangements and procedures (internal controls) in place for the administration and management of the Fund and are they documented?	It is considered that there are adequate internal controls in place. Most are set out in the risk register, though it is recognised this could be more comprehensive and better documented. There is an informal administration strategy but this needs to be updated for current processes and formalised to set out requirements which can be monitoried against. - Altair task management system - every morning, tasks on task list are allocated, and can monitor all tasks on the team to prioritise and allocate to other members on team if task overdue. Each task has a priority response time on system and has a guideline workflow to follow - cipfa timescales are followed. - There is currently a do/check procedure which depends on tasks i.e. estimates are not always checked due to time restrictions. Final benefit calculations are reallocated to a checker after calculation is done - and the job can't be sent to the "doer", has to go someone else and can't go further until checked. All members of team can check calcs - but payment is only authorised by a senior member of staff. This process is not formally documented but staff know the systems - it has been suggested that an improvement would be to have the duties allocated as the system can be altered to set levels of checking. - All correspondence is scanned and recorded on member file, new post that arrives is allocated to member files and tasks allocated to suitable member of staff by post team - they have had training on this. - A senior member of staff monitors the workflow for team members. - There is a calculation/query backlog so workflow can be difficult - the team have a priority for deaths and rededundancy exercise retirements. There is a plan to come back to overdue tasks afterwards. - Task procedures are written already and can be followed - auditors see these - Decisions on investments are made by the PPIC and fully documented. - Contributions are monitored throughout the year - minor breaches in payments are recorded by HoFPI and reported in the annual r	Annual	01/12/2015	completed	Partially compliant	Also as an extra control staff can't access their own pension records. The Head of Exchequer Services can access and make changes in the payroll system which is a potential risk. Employee and employer contributions are provided on an annual basis split by each member and this feeds into the data and administration checks that are carried out. For death overpayment cases, the authority makes a payment to fund for the amount and then they try to recover it - they then make decision to write off if necessary. This is outside of the pension Fund.	whether current practices are sufficient
E8	Do these procedures apply equally to outsourced services, are internal controls reflected in contracts with third party providers and is there adequate reporting in relation to those controls?	The only outsourced process relates to AVCs. The Head of Exchequer Services has planned to meet with the contact from Prudential to discuss information to be provided to members and to the administering authority (subject to DPA requirements), and any required checks to be carried out (e.g vs payroll information). Payroll are completely separate and they arrange the payroll for AVCs. In future I-Connect will be used to gather monthly returns for contributions, which includes AVCs, so it will be possible to check against Prudential records. Member records only show there is an AVC and at retirement the administrators will ask Prudential to do a quote etc. There is a risk of errors occuring that would be impossible for the Enfield administration team to detect under the current process.	Annual	01/12/2015	in progress	Partially compliant	No payments to employer future contributions etc adjusted.	Aon to provide a list of requirements from Prudential as AVC provider to provide comfort of their internal controls.

F - Maintaining accurate member data

Legal Requirements

Scheme managers must keep records of information relating to:

- member information
- transactions, and
- pension board meetings and decisions.

The legal requirements are set out in the Public Service Pensions (Record Keeping and Miscellaneous Amendments) Regulations 2014 ('the Record Keeping Regulations').

The Data Protection Act 1998 and the data protection principles set out additional requirements for using, holding and handling personal information. Other requirements are set out in the:

- . Pensions Act 1995 and 2004
- · Pensions Act 2008 and the Employers' Duties (Registration and Compliance) Regulations 2010
- · Occupational Pension Schemes (Scheme Administration) Regulations 1996 (SI 1996/1715)
- · Occupational Pension Schemes (Scheme Administration) Regulations (Northern Ireland) 1997 (SR 1997 No 94)
- · Registered Pension Schemes (Provision of Information) Regulations 2006 (SI 2006/567)

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
F1	information required as defined in the Record Keeping Regulations and is it accurate?	Checks were carried out in relation to each of the requirements in the Record Keeping Regulations and all were considered compliant except for in relation to clause 4(3) which relates to information for members who pay AVCs. - Altair system won't allow a new member entry without key details - Benefits won't be paid until a birth certificate is provided - this is a useful extra check as if there are any issues with the birth certificate, fraud investigation is commenced. - Most members are identified by NINO, and some Capel Manor employees have a different unique number as are on a different payroll system. - ICONNECT will upload data on an ongoing basis so easier to manage once implemented - this system will create new starters on altair (and flag new starter	Annually or if change provider. Part of actuarial valuation (triennial)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Set out what is required from Prudential in upcoming meeting with representative (in terms of member records and annual return information to Enfield).
		to team) and update pay onto each members record. Any changes to hours etc will also automatically update so records will be kept up to date. - Admin team confirmed both CARE and old 2008 pay data are recorded for each member - this is just called something different (i.e. "pensionable" for 2008 pay) - Pension increases are included on member records (via original and increased pension - the actual rate isn't on the record but is easily derived), and benefit calculations are automatically saved onto the member record. - Enfield will need to check with Prudential that members' AVC choices are recorded i.e. forms they originally completed when they signed up to AVCs. - Data accuracy and completeness reports are also received via the triennial valuation, which cover some of these elements.						

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
F2	appropriate processes in place so employers can provide timely and accurate information?	Enfield is considering drafting a formal administration policy to update the current draft and set out explicit requirements for employers. There is room for improvement in this area, particularly in terms of gathering contributions (and associated information). IConnect, when in place, will automatically create new records so will flag when a new joiner needs actioning. The team will then contact employers to request any information that has not been provided, and (as the team will need to know asap from employers if there are new joiners or leavers) they will ask by email at same time as obtaining the monthly returns. All employers who use the standard payroll will have IConnect. A check is carried out to see if the employee rate and payroll amounts give the expected contribution amounts - it is then escalated if suspected that the contribution is not right. However the team is 5 months behind on notifying contribution splits to HoFPI. It has been agreed it is necessary to put in a procedure for any staff to pick up the checks that are carried out and the process for requesting additional information from employers. Breaches policy to be drafted which will give intruction for reporting if employers not complying and for recording non compliance which is not reportable.	Will be set out in Administration Policy	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant	The Head of Exchequer Services is considering carrying out a LGPS audit by auditors at the same time as they carry out the Teachers scheme audit.	Administration policy to set out employer requirements. Breaches procedure to set out how to report non-compliance
F3	and reconcile transactions as required by the Record Keeping Regulations?	Checks were carried out in relation to each of the requirements in the Record Keeping Regulations and all were considered compliant except for in relation to AVCs, where compliance is likely but can't be confirmed without Prudential confirmation. Annual reconciliation is required and audited as part of annual report and accounts process. For death overpayment cases, the authority makes a payment to fund for the amount and then they try to recover the cost - they then make decision to write off if necessary. This is outside of the pension Fund so the Fund should have minimal write-offs.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/06/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant	As additional evidence Paul could mention what monitoring against budget takes place?	Obtain confirmation from Prudential re records of transactions.
F4	Are records kept of pension board	Full minutes are maintained by the HoFPI. These are not currently published on the Council website but the intention is to do so once the website is updated.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Publish PB minutes on website
F5	•	We do not expect there to be decisions outside of PB meetings. The HoFPI will monitor the situation.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
F6	they are needed?	Enfield considers it necessary to retain records indefinitely due to the number of enquiries from employees relating to periods many decades ago. Accordingly personal records are maintained in addition to other data such as contribution lists, spreadsheets of old cases and pensions increases reports. Anything on Altair won't be removed - all paper records or microfiche have been put on the systems.	(annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
F7	Does the Administering Authority have policies and processes to monitor data on an ongoing basis?	There are no formally documented processes in place though the team is carrying out monitoring where possible. There are a number of separate processes in place to monitor data on an ongoing basis including: - Year-end annual returns provide an opportunity to highlight any data discrepancies - All data entry is checked for input accuracy - Various tolerance checks such as changes in pay (admin team to confirm if this check is done, and if so if it is automatic) - Processes if pensioner payslips are returned (see below), using only BACs payments for pensioners (admin team to confirm) and national fraud initiative. - Triennial valuation highlights data issues. Wil consider setting process for warning and charging levies to employers if incomplete monthly data is provided or if provided late	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Non-compliant		Formally document processes (eg in admin policy/strategy)
F8	Does the Administering Authority carry out a data review at least annually?	National fraud initiative checks are carried out annually to check for any deaths which have not been notified. If any payslip is returned the admin team will freeze the pay record pending confirmation of death or change of address. Grant Thornton (auditors) are currently carrying out an audit on the data and will come back with queries which will then be resolved. There are not currently any checks on the pension payroll vs the Altair records, which is an area for possible improvement. When triennial valuation data queries are sent the issues resolved are updated on member records. Annually when checks are done the issues such as NINos are flagged if they do not exist on the record, but there is no official "common data check".		01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Consider checks on pension payroll vs Altair records
F9	Is a data improvement plan in place which is being monitored with a defined end date?	Not currently. When IConnect is implemented many of the "gaps" in data checking will be improved as will highlight where there are issues in data quality. Considering inclusion of service standards and planned improvements in administration strategy which is currently under review.	Monthly	01/12/2015	Not started	Non-compliant		Consider inclusion of plan in formal administration strategy.
F10	Are processes and policies in place to reconcile scheme data with employer data?	Monthly and year end spreadsheets assist with reconciling data (though there is a backlog on the monthly spreadsheet splits). If issues are spotted, request member addresses before sending the benefit statements out. Problem employers are approached for certain information. Again, IConnect will help improve consistency of records. Email addresses will be requested when self-service system is in place. Any changes to member data from this new system will automatically update altair (note - there are only some things member can change eg address).	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		

No	. TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
F1	Do the Administering Authority's	There is a DPA officer in the IT team at the Council. Staff receive online training once a year, and the pension manager (Enfield to confirm) is informed when a member of staff has not completed it. There is a DPA Policy for the administering authority, particularly explaining how only data which is needed should be kept on record, and sets out how information is kept on the server. Spreadsheets providing data from employers are password protected - except one employer who sends one members' spreadsheet unencrypted. IConnect will be secure and that is to be implemented soon. Aon Hewitt as actuarial advisers only send encrypted files to AA (Aon's firewalls prevent sending member data unencrypted). Egress is used to send data to other parties from the Council i.e. to the actuaries. Benefit estimates can be emailed to members' personal email addesses - these	Review Ongoing (annual check)	1	Fully completed	·	Notes	There are a small number of areas where the processes could be "tightened up" to ensure member data is only transmitted securely.
		are sent via egress if possible - but some replies are not encrypted if a member emails and it is responded to and the original email included member data.						

G - Maintaining contributions

Legal requirements

Contributions must be paid as detailed below, and where not done, they should be reported to TPR in circumstances where the scheme manager has reasonable cause to believe that the failure is likely to be of material significance to TPR in the exercise of any of its functions. Reporting must be carried out as detailed below.

Contribution Type	Contributions must be paid	When a failure should be reported
Employer	l()n or botore the due date as detined by the scheme	To The Regulator: As soon as reasonably practicable
Employee	Paid within the prescribed period (19 th day of the month, or 22 nd day if paid electronically) or earlier date if required by the scheme regulations	Regulator: Within a reasonable period – 10 working days

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
G1	Does the Fund have procedures and processes in place to identify payment failures?	HoFPI will identify payments if outside of 19 day statutory period. If it a minor breach which is subsequently quickly paid it is not reported (just recorded), but if persistent will raise with employer to try to resolve. The administration team send a split of the contributions by member and employer to HoFPI each month (there is curently a 5 month backlog to this which suggests potential breaches that, though unlikely, may not have been identified). The amounts are compared to previous months' contribution levels to check for reasonableness but there is limited checking that the actual amounts are correct. It has been agreed that this could be improved upon and will be set out in the administration strategy/policy and monitored.		01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Set out method for more effective checking of contributions in administration policy.
G2	Do those processes and procedures include a contributions monitoring record to determine whether contributions are paid on time and in full?	Processes are not documented so should be recorded in administration policy. See above notes re checks carried out and possible improvements to ensure that errors do not get overlooked in 'gaps' between teams. It has been a few years since an employer has not paid so this is not considered a significant risk - sometimes a payment arrives only a couple of days late, there has therefore been no interest charged on late payments to date. Late payments are shown in annual report. It has been agreed to record conversations with employers more formally and report to the Pensions Board if any communications with employers are needed in relation to payment of contributions.	check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		See above
G3	Do those processes and procedures include monitoring payments against the contributions monitoring record on an ongoing basis?	HoFPI compares the financial records / bank statements to the split of contributions provided by the administration team. No process is currently in place in relation to reconciling AVC payments with contributions record. This is to be discussed with Prudential representative to ensure required information is provided.		01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Process being implemented to monitor date of payment of AVCs.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
G4	Are these procedures regularly reviewed to ensure they are effective?	Processed are not formally documented. Administration policy/strategy to be drafted which will be subject to regular review, as well as the reporting under the requirements set out within the policy.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Administration Strategy to be drafted as per above
G5	Do the Administering Authority's processes include managing overdue contributions in line with TPR's suggested approach?	The processes followed are in line with TPR's suggested processes (other than for AVCs where this can't be confirmed at this stage), but are not currently formally documented. This is underway currently.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Process being implemented to monitor date of payment of AVCs.
G6	investigations and communications with	Records of emails are retained by pensions team, but not formally recorded in a central place - to be formalised in administration policy.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Include in Administration Strategy
G7	is this in accordance with the LGPS	Currently this is available on an annual basis, however monthly iconnect returns which are due to be implemented in 2016 will improve the process for information passing between employer and administering authority. Missing information will be raised with employers immediately following the return as Altair will require it. Employer requirements to be formally set out in new administration policy, including how performance of employers will be reported upon and remedial action taken.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Employers - Fully compliant		Ongoing work with employers following update to admin strategy to ensure data is received in accordance with requirements.
G8	which are material are reported to the Regulator within a reasonable period?	This process will be set out in breaches policy, and administration strategy will set out how to monitor the effectiveness of the process. There is currently a backlog so there has been a delay to the monthly contribution split information being passed to HoFPI. This has been over 5 months and so this may breach the "reasonable period" if there have been any issues in this time. However, payment failures are rare for the Fund and so the associated risk is not significant.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Procedure being designed to formalise escalation process including materiality and whether to report to TPR.
G9	outsourced to a service provider, is there a process in place to obtain	N/A Except for AVCs, where contribution information is passed directly to Prudential from employers and admin team do not reconcile payroll with AVCs paid. This is something to be considered to increase confidence in the contributions paid and ultimate benefits settled via AVCs. Prudential do provide an annual spreadsheet with contributions for each member so this could be checked against expectations and finance systems to ensure correct.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Discuss requirements with Prudential in upcoming meeting.

H - Providing information to members and others

Legal requirements

The law requires schemes to disclose information about benefits and scheme administration to scheme members and others. This includes requirements relating to benefit statements and certain other information which must be provided under the requirements of the 2013 Act, HM Treasury directions and the Occupational and Personal Pension Schemes (Disclosure of Information) Regulations 2013 ('the Disclosure Regulations 2013'). In addition to these duties, there are other legal requirements relating to the provision of information to members and others under other legislation.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
	been provided to all active members within the required timescales?	Did not meet 31 August deadline (or subsequent 30 November deadline). Deferred statements have been sent and actives statements will be sent by 4th Dec (Enfield to confirm this happened?) and other external employers (covering approx 500 employees) with different payroll will be done when data provided. To be agreed whether to report as material breach or simply to record.	Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Employers - Non-compliant		Complete ABS process and issue to all members. Decide on whether to report to TPR as material breach
H2	requirements in relation to format?	The statements have been checked against the HMT directions and are compliant except in the following areas in Part 1 and 2 of Schedule 5 of the Disclosure Regulations: A summary of the method for calculating the members' benefits and survivor's benefits. This could be made clearer.	Annual	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Consider amending in future
НЗ	and pension credit members who have requested one within the required timescales?	Pension credit members are included in deferred statement exercise. If a member asks for a statement within 12 months of their last statement, we send a copy of that one. Last year the Council issued a one page document asking for confirmation of details, and sent a statement to those who replied. Those who didn't reply have the "gone away" box ticked on their record so they don't get a statement. Some still have a blank address, and will be traced using debtor software that the Head of Exchequer Services has access to.	Annual	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
H4	requirements in relation to format?	The statements have been checked against the HMT directions and are compliant except in the following areas in Part 1 and 2 of Schedule 5 of the Disclosure Regulations: - For deferred members, the date pensionable service started is not included. - Also for deferred members, the pensionable pay on the date of ending service is not included. - Also the amount of benefits from the date benefits are payable (i.e. retirement date) are not provided. - A summary of the method for calculating the members' benefits and survivor's benefits. This could be made clearer.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Amend to include the relevant information to make this fully compliant
		Prudential confirmed these were sent in June 2015.	Annual	01/06/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
H6	Do these meet the legal	Example statement provided by Prudential (this had Hampshire Pension Fund in title but we have assumed format and contents are the same). This has been checked against the requirements and is compliant.	Annual	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant	It is not clear whether there is the option for lifestyling in the Prudential funds offered and if so, there should be checks to ensure the required communications relating to lifestyling are also provided to members.	Suggest check the situation re lifestyling with Prudential, and if it takes place how Disclosure Reg 18 is met.

No.	TPR Requirement	II ANAAN KATAIIAN AT ENTIBIA ANNTAZEN / EVIABNEB	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
Н7	Is basic scheme information provided to all new and prospective members within the required timescales?		Ongoing (annual		Fully completed	Employers - Partially compliant	We cannot confirm that the information is provided within the required timescales under Regulation 6 (5-7) of the Disclosure Regulations.	Consider process for new starters (although it is acknowledged that there may not be a perfect solution available). Consider process for monitoring timescales and reporting
Н8	Does this meet the legal requirements in relation to format?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Consider amendments to ensure full compliance
Н9	Is all other information provided in accordance with the legal timescales?	Yes the legal timescale requirements are met. However, there is often no	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015		Fully compliant		Consider monitoring timescales met
H10			Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Prudential (the AVC provider) to be asked to provide an annual statement confirming they have met the requirements in relation to lifestyling.
H11	Where any information is only provided electronically (i.e. instead of any hard copy) does it comply with the legal requirements?	Only the newsletter is provided solely via the intranet, but this is also made available to those who don't have access, and hard copy booklets are sent to employer such as schools. Therefore the additional requirements are not applicable.		01/12/2015		Fully compliant		
H12	Does the Administering Authority aim to design and deliver communications in a way that ensures scheme members are able to engage with their pension provision?	A form is issued with the ABS and newsletters including questions such as "was this useful, easy to read etc". Feedback is generally positive, however this is not regularly reviewed or updated.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015		Fully compliant	A Communications policy/strategy could set out the objectives and how to measure against these.	

N	lo.	TPR Requirement	II ondon Borough of Entield Approach / Evidence	- 1 7 -	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
F				Ongoing (annual check)		Fully completed	Fully compliant		
			The National Fraud Initiative is used on an annual basis to identify deaths which have not been notified.						

I - Internal Dispute Resolution

Legal requirements

The Pensions Act 1995 requires scheme managers to set up and implement an Internal Dispute Resolution Procedure (IDRP) to help resolve disputes between the scheme manager and people with an interest in the scheme.

The act states that a person has an interest in the scheme if they:

- · are a member or beneficiary
- · are a prospective member
- · have ceased to be a member, beneficiary or prospective member
- · claim to be any of the above and the dispute relates to this claim.

The Act also states that the procedure must include:

- · how an application is to be made
- · what must be included in an application
- · how decisions are to be reached and notified
- a specified period (which is reasonable) within which applications must be made.

The procedure may require people with an interest in the scheme to first refer matters in dispute to a 'specified person' in order for that person to consider and give their decision on those matters. This decision may then be confirmed or replaced by the decision taken by the scheme manager after reconsideration of the matters. However, legislation provides flexibility for scheme managers to decide the details of these.

No.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
l1	,	Yes - IDRP procedure is on the intranet. It is not currently on the Fund website, however it will be on the new website which is currently being updated.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Include procedure on updated website
12	dispute is exempt?	IDRP Employee guide does not state explicitly who is eligible nor who is exempt - suggest setting out in list form to be clearer.	check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	·		Suggest updating policy/procedure to set out eligibility and exemption criteria
13	applicants about the procedure clearly state the procedure and process to apply for a dispute to be resolved including: - who it applies to	complete. The procedure references a "specified person" but does not set out who this is (although a generic contact address setting out who to send disputes to is provided). Time limits for when applications must be made are set out at the end of the document.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
14	ensured that employers who make first stage decisions also have IDRP in	All employers have it documented where they follow the Administering Authority's policy. Employers have their own appointed person. The HR representative will review at first, then James Rolfe. If the Administering Authority has made the decision - the pensions team deal with the case and then pass to Head of Exchequer Services to review.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
I 5	•	The member is advised on the timescales and these are adhered to, though there is no formal monitoring or reporting to PB (or otherwise) on IDRP processes/performance.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Partially compliant		Consider formally monitoring adherence to timescales and reporting on this as part of effectiveness review.

	L		Frequency of	Last Review			I., ,	[
No.	TPR Requirement		Review	Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
16	Does the Administering Authority notify and advertise the procedure appropriately?	updated, as mentioned above. IDRP process and leaflet is mentioned in new joiner information - in the brief guide to the LGPS from the Enfield Fund. This is available on the website. www.enfield.gov.uk/download/downloads/id//lgps_emplo yee_guide This is to be included in the formal administration strategy	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant	It has not been possible to check if it is mentioned in letters to members giving notification of benefit payment/settlement as have not seen evidence of these. It is advised the IDRP process is mentioned in each notification letter.	
17	<u> </u>		Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant	Please note we have not been able to confrim that this information is included in required communications as we have not seen evidence of these.	
18		There is currently no formal reporting on this. Significant cases have been taken to the PPIC where they reviewed the decision and ratified it. A barrister reviewed how Head of Exchequer Services at stage 2 and pension team member at stage 1 made the decisions for a particular case and confirmed they followed good practice.	n/a	01/12/2015		compliant		Consider formally monitoring adherence to timescales and reporting on this as part of effectiveness review.
19	Does the Administering Authority regularly assess the effectiveness where employers carry out a stage one process?		Ongoing (annual check)	01/06/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		

J - Reporting breaches of the law

Legal Requirements

Certain people are required to report breaches of the law to the regulator where they have reasonable cause to believe that:

- · a legal duty which is relevant to the administration of the scheme has not been, or is not being, complied with
- the failure to comply is likely to be of material significance to the regulator in the exercise of any of its functions.

People who are subject to the reporting requirement ('reporters') for public service pension schemes are:

- scheme managers
- · members of pension boards
- · any person who is otherwise involved in the administration of a public service pension scheme
- employers: in the case of a multi-employer scheme, any participating employer who becomes aware of a breach should consider their statutory duty to report, regardless of whether the breach relates to, or affects, members who are its employees or those of other employers
- professional advisers including auditors, actuaries, legal advisers and fund managers: not all public service pension schemes are subject to the same legal requirements to appoint professional advisers, but nonetheless the regulator expects that all schemes will have professional advisers, either resulting from other legal requirements or simply as a matter of practice
- · any person who is otherwise involved in advising the managers of the scheme in relation to the scheme.

The report must be made in writing as soon as reasonably practicable.

ο.	TPR Requirement	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence		Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
		· · ·	Ongoing (annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Formal breaches procedure to be put in
			check)					place and distributed.
	reaches under the legal requirements	a formal process for reporting breaches, which will be						
	and TPR guidance understand the	shared with all those responsible.						
_	<u> </u>							
			Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		As above
		[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	identifying and assessing breaches?	. •						
		I ***						
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
		where required.						
		Harris and Comment and the Comment of the Comment o						
		,						
		'						
,	Are breeches being recorded in		Ongoing (annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Portiolly		As above, recording process will be
	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	01/12/2015	in progress			As above - recording process will be
	<u> </u>	· ·	Crieck)			Compliant		detailed as part of procedure.
	procedures?	, ,						
3		Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible for reporting reaches under the legal requirements	Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible for reporting reaches under the legal requirements and TPR guidance understand the requirements? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? However it is recognised that it would be preferable if the procedure is being drafted. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed It is expected that those responsible are aware of their aformal preaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. However it is recognised that it would be preferable if the procedure is being drafted.	Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible for reporting reaches under the legal requirements and TPR guidance understand the requirements? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. However it is recognised that it would be preferable if the procedures were formalised and a formal breaches procedure is being drafted. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed procedures? Hospital included in the annual report. All other breaches need to be formally recorded in agreed place - to be set out in	Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible for reporting reaches under the legal requirements and TPR guidance understand the requirements? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed procedures? Lit is expected that those responsible are aware of their responsibilities. However it has been agreed to document a formal process for reporting breaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. However it is recognised that it would be preferable if the procedures were formalised and a formal breaches procedure is being drafted. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed included in the annual report. All other breaches need to be formally recorded in agreed place - to be set out in	Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible for reporting reaches under the legal requirements and TPR guidance understand the requirements? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed of the those responsibile are aware of their responsibile are aware of their responsibile its expected that those responsible are aware of their responsibile are aware of their responsible are aware of their responsibile are aware of their a formal process for reporting breaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. However it is recognised that it would be preferable if the procedures were formalised and a formal breaches procedure is being drafted. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed included in the annual report. All other breaches need to be formally recorded in agreed place - to be set out in	Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible are aware of their requirements and TPR guidance understand the requirements? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed It is expected that those responsible are aware of their responsibilities. However it has been agreed to document a formal process for reporting breaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Processes for reporting breaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed Are breaches being recorded in agreed place - to be set out in procedures? Lin progress Non-compliant check) Annual O1/12/2015 In progress Non-compliant objects Annual O1/12/2015 In progress Non-compliant objects Non-comp	Is the Administering Authority satisfied that those responsible for reporting reaches under the legal requirements and TPR guidance understand the requirements? Does the Administering Authority have appropriate procedures in place to meet their legal obligations for identifying and assessing breaches? Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed Are breaches being recorded with the agreed It is expected that those responsible are aware of their those responsibilities. However it has been agreed to document a formal process for reporting breaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Processes for monitoring contributions are in place (though could be more formally documented) and there are also processes in place for checking member benefit calculations and completing benefit statements, and staff are aware of how to raise and escalate any issues that arise. Advice is sought from legal and actuarial advisers where required. However it is recognised that it would be preferable if the procedures were formalised and a formal breaches procedure is being drafted. Are breaches being recorded in accordance with the agreed procedures? London Borough of Entitle Approach aware aware of thoir mass been agreed to document a formal process for reporting breaches, which will be shared with all those responsible. Review Ongoing (annual of/1/12/2015 In progress Non-compliant Nones Non-compliant Only/12/2015 In progress Partially compliant Check)

K - Scheme Advisory Board - Guidance on the creation and operation of Local Pension Boards in England and Wales

Legal Requirements

Clause 7 of the Public Service Pensions Act provides that the national Scheme Advisory Board (SAB) may provide advice to scheme managers or pension boards in relation to the effective and efficient administration and management of the scheme.

It also provides that a person to whom advice is given by virtue of subsection (1) or (2) must have regard to the advice.

The Scheme Advisory Board has published guidance on the creation and operation of Local Pension Boards in England and Wales which incorporates a number of action point check lists at the end of some of the sections. The following are the items in those checklists.

No.		SAB Section	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
K1	Administering Authority to have approved the establishment (including Terms of Reference) of the Local Pension Board by 1 April 2015.	5	Approved March 2015 by full council	Ongoing (annual check)	n/a	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K2	The Local Pension Board must be operational (i.e. had its first meeting no later than 4 months after the 1 April 2015).	5	The first meeting was held on 31 July 2015 (with 2 subsequent meetings since then)	Ongoing (annual check)	n/a	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
К3	Once established a Local Pension Board should adopt a knowledge and understanding policy and framework (possibly in conjunction with the Pensions Committee if appropriate).	6	Formal policy in progress	Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Create Knowledge and Understanding Policy
K4	A Local Pension Board should designate a person to take responsibility for ensuring that the knowledge and understanding policy and framework is developed and implemented.	6	HoFPI has responsibility. This will be formalised in policy which is being drafted.	Ongoing (annual check)	31/07/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K5	The Administering Authority should offer access to high quality induction training and provide relevant ongoing training to the appointed members of the Local Pension Board.	6	In progress more formally but induction training has been carried out.	Ongoing (annual check)	31/07/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		To be included in formal policy
K6	A Local Pension Board should prepare (and keep updated) a list of the core documents recording policy about the administration of the Fund and make the list and documents (as well as the rules of the LGPS) accessible to its members.	6	Currently such a list doesn't exist - this will be in the new policy once drafted, but in the interim the HoFPI has gone through with the PB members at meeting and explained the key documents (main focus was on the accounts which includes many key documents within it).	Ongoing (annual check)	31/07/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		To be included in formal policy
K7	Members of a Local Pension Board should undertake a personal training needs analysis and put in place a personalised training plan.	6	In progress - see previous sections.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		A model is being developed to capture individual training needs against CIPFA requirements/TPR toolkits and to monitor against those specific requirements. Any individuals with outstanding requirements will be highlighted regularly (i.e. annually).

No.	SAB Requirement	SAB Section	London Borough of Enfield Approach / Evidence	Frequency of Review	Last Review Date	Completed	Compliant	Notes	Action
К8	An Administering Authority should prepare a code of conduct and a conflicts policy for its Local Pension Board for approval in accordance with the Administering Authority's constitution and at the first meeting of the Local Pension Board. The Local Pension Board should keep these under regular review.	7	Council Code of Conduct includes reference to conflicts - however, this is currently not linked to the PB Terms of Reference consider linking them.	Annual	31/07/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Consider linking to PB Terms of Reference
K9	Training should be arranged for officers and members of a Local Pension Board on conduct and conflicts.		Provided at first meeting - see previous sections - will update in fuure meetings (register and regular refresh).	Ongoing (annual check)	31/07/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		
K10	A Local Pension Board should establish and maintain a register of interests for its members.	7	Currently is recorded but formal register to be provided.	Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		Formal register of interests to be created
K11	An Administering Authority should agree the ongoing reporting arrangements between the Local Pension Board and the Administering Authority.	8	Not formally set up currently. HoFPI will draft the report annually, PB chair to finalise and this will then go in report and accounts. TOR only states that an annual report on the work of the Board will be included in the Fund's annual report.	0 0 1	31/07/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		Annual report to be produced
K12	A Local Pension Board should understand the Administering Authority's requirements, controls and policies for FOIA compliance so that the Local Pension Board is aware of them and can comply with them.	8	Responsibility of the FOI Officer - not yet reported to PB, but will be reporting in future meetings and will provide the policy to the PB members.		01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		Report to PB on Fol compliance
K13	A Local Pension Board should put in place arrangements to meet the duty of its members to report breaches of law.	8		Ongoing (annual check)	01/12/2015	In progress	Non-compliant		A breaches procedure is being prepared for approval
K14	A Local Pension Board should consider (with its Administering Authority) the need to publish an annual report of its activities.	8	See above response to K11	Annual	01/12/2015	In progress	Partially compliant		Annual report to be produced
K15	An Administering Authority should consult on, revise and publish its governance compliance statement to include details of the terms, structure and operational procedures relating to its Local Pension Board.	8	2014/15 annual report and accounts includes details of the individuals. Additional details as required need to be included in 2015/16	Annual	31/03/2015	Fully completed	Fully compliant		Note to include additional PB detail in 2015/16 statement.